

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15-17, 21-25, and 36-38 are pending. Claim 14 has been cancelled. The pending claims have been amended to address any ambiguities and the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejections. The claims have been amended to clarify that the user request may or may not be a request for content. If the protocol of the user request indicates that it is not a protocol for requesting and retrieving content, the request is simply processed by a network gateway device and is transmitted to a destination server, as opposed to a target content server. If the user request is for content, the request is eventually transmitted to a target server. Support for the amendments may be found, for example, at page 15, lines 10 to 14. The user request is not in a protocol for requesting and retrieving content, it is not altered in any way (a redirection destination header is not added) and it is not sent to a proxy server.

Applicant requests that the Examiner contact Applicant's Representative at the number below to discuss any remaining §112 issues, if any.

For these reasons, Applicant believes the amended claims and the dependent claims are patentable over the cited references.

Respectfully submitted,  
BEYER LAW GROUP LLP

/Rupak Nag/

Rupak Nag  
Registration No. 37,493

BEYER LAW GROUP LLP  
P.O. Box 1687  
Cupertino, CA 95014-1687  
Telephone: (612) 252-3335  
Fax No.: (612) 825-6304